



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2649/2010, CRL.M.A. 13881/2010 & CRL. M.A. 10625/2013**

ANUP KAUR & ORSPetitioners
Through: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State
with SI Mahesh Rawat
Ms. Manishi Raj, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER
29.04.2026

%

1. Adjournment requested by counsel for petitioners on the ground that arguing counsel is ill.
2. It appears that in compliance with the impugned order of the learned Magistrate, FIR No. 86/2009 was registered. By way of order dated 19.08.2010 the predecessor bench stayed operation of the impugned order till next date. But apparently both sides read it as stay on investigation. That interim order was made absolute by order dated 08.11.2013 of the predecessor bench.
3. What was stayed by order dated 19.08.2010 was 'operation of the impugned order' and it is that order which was made absolute on 08.11.2013. But prior to stay order dated 19.08.2010, the impugned order was already implemented by registration of FIR. There is no order, whereby



investigation pertaining to the said FIR was stayed. The issue is as to whether investigation into an FIR can be stayed. On this aspect, both sides seek time to examine.

4. Relist on 09.10.2026 in Advance List.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 29, 2026
‘rs’