



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1721/2008**

PRASAR BHARATI

..... Plaintiff

Through : Counsel for the plaintiff (appearance not given).

versus

TV TODAY INDIA LTD & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through : Ms.Nisha Bhambhani, Advocate with Ms.Lakshita Sethi, Advocate for D1, D5 & D12.

Mr.Sudeep Chatterjee, Advocate with Ms.Sonal, Advocate for D4 & D10.

Mr.Arijit Mazumdar, Adv. for D7.

Dr.Saif Mahmood, Advocate with Mr.Sumant De & Mr.Vivek Agarwal, Advocates for D11.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

%

28.08.2017

IA 9714/17 (u/O XIV R 5 CPC on behalf of D1, D5 & D12)

1. The application has been filed to correct typographical error in the order dated 28.11.2014.
2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the defendants and plaintiff have no objection to the allowing the application.
3. In the prayer clause, the plaintiff had sought the decree of permanent injunction and rendition of accounts against defendants No.1 to 13.



4. Vide order dated 28.11.2014 Issue No.7 was framed :

“Whether the plaintiff is entitled to any damages against defendants No.1, 5, 11 & 12 and if so, in what proportion against which of the said defendants?”

5. In my view, considering the prayer of the plaintiff in the suit and other pleadings, Issue No.7 requires to be reframed as under :

“Whether the plaintiff is entitled to any damages against defendants No. 1 to 13 and if so, in what proportion against which of the said defendants? OPP”

6. Other terms of the order dated 28.11.2014 are left undisturbed. This modification is without prejudice to the rights of the contesting defendants.

CS(OS) 1721/2008

List before the Joint Registrar on the date fixed i.e. 19th September, 2017.

S.P.GARG, J

AUGUST 28, 2017 / tr