



\$~2 to 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

2

+ BAIL APPLN. 1740/2009
AJAY MOHAN KAPUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Luthra, Mr.
Himanshu Luthra, Mr.
Akshay Goswami, Mr.
Vikas Singh and Mr.
Prashant Giri, Advocates.

versus

STATE N.C.T. OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri,
APP for the State with Ms.
Divya Yadav, Advocate.
SI Vinay Singh, PS Lajpat
Nagar.

3

+ CRL.M.C. 3689/2010
RAKHI @ POOJA KAPUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Bani Dikshit, Mr.
Dhruva Vig and Mr.
Uddhav Khanna,
Advocates.

versus

STATE & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri,
APP for the State with Ms.
Divya Yadav, Advocate.
SI Vinay Singh, PS Lajpat
Nagar.

4

+ W.P.(CRL) 1189/2010
AJAY MOHAN KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Luthra, Mr.
Himanshu Luthra, Mr.
Akshay Goswami, Mr.
Vikas Singh and Mr.
Prashant Giri, Advocates.



versus

STATE & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari,
ASC for the State with Mr.
Alok Sharma, Advocate.
SI Vinay Singh, PS Lajpat
Nagar.

5

+

W.P.(CRL) 1191/2010

AJAY MOHAN KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Luthra, Mr.
Himanshu Luthra, Mr.
Akshay Goswami, Mr.
Vikas Singh and Mr.
Prashant Giri, Advocates.

versus

STATE & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari,
ASC for the State with Mr.
Alok Sharma, Advocate.
SI Rajbir, PS Rajouri
Garden.

6

+

CONT.CAS(C) 401/2011

AJAY MOHAN KAPUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P. Luthra, Mr.
Himanshu Luthra, Mr.
Akshay Goswami, Mr.
Vikas Singh and Mr.
Prashant Giri, Advocates.

versus

POOJA KAPUR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Bani Dikshit, Mr.
Dhruva Vig and Mr.
Uddhav Khanna,
Advocates.



7

+

CONT.CAS(C) 609/2011

POOJA KAPOOR @ RAKHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Bani Dikshit, Mr.
Dhruva Vig and Mr.
Uddhav Khanna,
Advocates.

versus

AJAY MOHAN KAPUR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. R.P. Luthra, Mr.
Himanshu Luthra, Mr.
Akshay Goswami, Mr.
Vikas Singh and Mr.
Prashant Giri, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

16.12.2025

**BAIL APPLN. 1740/2009 & CRL.M.A. 13610/2010,
CRL.M.A. 4587/2012, CRL.M.A. 13614/2014, CRL.M.A.
18718/2016, CRL.M.A. 19128/2016, CRL.M.A. 14478/2017,
CRL.M.A. 47831/2018, CRL.M.A. 16297/2022, CRL.M.A.
9256/2023, CRL.M.A. 29571/2024**

1. The present bail application was disposed of by order dated 21.12.2009, passed by this Court.
2. Considering that the complainant has filed a substantive petition being CRL.M.C. 3689/2010, no purpose would be served by keeping all the applications filed by the complainant pending in the present petition.
3. The applications stand disposed of.

**W.P.(CRL) 1189/2010 & CRL.M.A. 13674/2010, CRL.M.A.
2261/2011, CRL.M.A. 12410/2013, CRL.M.A. 15153/2013,
CRL.M.A. 6280/2016, CRL.M.A. 10379/2016, CRL.M.A.
12604/2016, CRL.M.A. 1719/2017, CRL.M.A. 12006/2017,
CRL.M.A. 12686/2017, CRL.M.A. 14489/2017, CRL.M.A.**

BAIL APPLN. 1740/2009 and connected matters

Page 3 of 5



16951/2017, CRL.M.A. 21177/2017, CRL.M.A. 5469/2018, CRL.M.A. 6405/2018, CRL.M.A. 34067/2018, CRL.M.A. 241/2019, CRL.M.A. 2277/2019, CRL.M.A. 2475/2019, CRL.M.A. 9835/2019, CRL.M.A. 10181/2019, CRL.M.A. 11795/2019, CRL.M.A. 13131/2019, CRL.M.A. 14276/2020, CRL.M.A. 14372/2020, CRL.M.A. 14373/2020, CRL.M.A. 854/2021, CRL.M.A. 3945/2021, CRL.M.A. 8114/2021, CRL.M.A. 14212/2022, CRL.M.A. 30088/2023 and W.P.(CRL) 1191/2010 & CRL.M.A. 13677/2010, CRL.M.A. 12415/2013

4. The learned ASC for the State submits that these petitions ought not to be entertained on the behest of the petitioner, who has been absconding and has been declared a proclaimed offender.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner travelled out of India after due permission given by the Court. He submits that in such circumstances the proceedings for declaration of petitioner as proclaimed offender are erroneous.

6. He submits that since the petitioner has already been declared a proclaimed offender, in order to avoid any objection in regard to the maintainability, he will also take steps for challenging the order(s) declaring the petitioner as proclaimed offender.

7. Considering that the parties have been litigating for last more than one decade and at some stage have also entered into a settlement and also considering that the interest of the child is also to be addressed, the parties are directed to remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

8. List on 02.02.2026.

CRL.M.C. 3689/2010 & CRL.M.A. 4237/2011, CRL.M.A. 5342/2013, CRL.M.A. 9939/2013, CRL.M.A. 12231/2013,



CRL.M.A. 12791/2013, CRL.M.A. 14375/2014, CRL.M.A. 14474/2017

CONT.CAS(C) 401/2011

CONT.CAS(C) 609/2011

9. List along with W.P.(CRL) 1189/2010 on 02.02.2026.
10. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025

DU