



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 398/2016 & I.A. 25466/2014 I.A. 5505-5506/2015 I.A. 5085/2025 I.A. 8432/2025**

M/S JAGRAN PRAKASHAN LTD

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. J.V. Abhay, Ms. Maidini Phul,
Ms. Prakriti Sharma, Advocates

versus

JAGRAN INFRA PROJECTS PVT LTD & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh and Ms.
Subasri Jaganathan, Advocates for
applicants in I.A. 5085/2025 I.A.
8432/2025

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **25.08.2025**

I.A. 5085/2025 (seeking impleadment)

1. This is an application filed by Mr. Hari Mohan Gupta, Mr. Madan Mohan Gupta, Mr. Rajiv Mohan Gupta ('applicants') under Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC') seeking impleadment as defendants in the present suit.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants states that these three individuals are desirous of becoming a party in this suit as they are claiming joint proprietary rights in the suit trademark 'Dainik Jagran'. He admits that none of these applicants have any concern with defendant no. 1, whereas the subject matter of the suit is the use of the impugned mark by defendant no.

1.



3. In view of the dismissal and disposal of I.A. No. 5086/2025 in CS (COMM) 397/2016, wherein the same applicants have sought impleadment on the similar grounds, this Court does not find the applicants a necessary or proper party.

4. The application is accordingly dismissed

5. It is however clarified that these applicants' rights in the trademark 'Dainik Jagran' will however remain sub judice, subject to the orders passed in CS(COMM.) No.719/2018 and the dismissal of this application is not an expression of opinion on the claims of these applicants in the said trademark.

I.A. 8432/2025 (seeking impleadment)

6. This is an application filed by Mr. Anshuman Gupta seeking impleadment as a defendant in the present suit.

7. The subject matter of the suit is the use of the impugned mark by defendant no. 1 and the said use has to be defended by defendant no. 1 which is an independent juristic entity.

8. In view of the dismissal and disposal of I.A. No. 7907/2025 in CS (COMM) 397/2016, wherein this applicant has sought impleadment on the similar grounds, this Court does not find the applicant a necessary or proper party.

9. Accordingly, the application is dismissed. It is clarified that the dismissal of this application is not an expression of opinion on the claims of this applicant in the trademark 'Jagran', which will be decided in CS(COMM.) No.719/2018.

CS(COMM) 398/2016

10. None appears on behalf of defendant no. 1.



11. Defendant no. 1 is hereby proceeded ex-parte.
12. List on **14.11.2025**.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

AUGUST 25, 2025/mt/AM