



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 2555/2012

CHRISTINE SWAROOP RAJ & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Ms. Rebecca M. John, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Pramod Singh, Mr.Chinmay, Mr.Pravir Singh,
Ms.Ajunee Singh and Mr.N. Dey, Advocates

versus

STATE & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjeev Sabharwal, Advocate with
ASI Sunil Kumar
Mr.Nagendra Kasana, Ms.Anjana, Mr.Rajesh and
Mr.Aditya, Advocates for respondent Nos.2 to 6

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **10.04.2024**

1. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submits that in the present case, the charge under Section 3 (1) (x) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act has been framed against the accused persons. She further submits that the complaint does not satisfy the ingredients inasmuch as there is no public view in which the statements were made. She next contends that in the previous complaint filed before the SHO, Hauz Khas as well as before the Chairman, National Commission SC/ST, no allegations are levelled with respect to the passing of casteist remarks and the said complaints were only in relation to inducing the complainants for conversion. Lastly, it is contended that one common complaint has been filed by 5 different complainants with respect to 5 different incidents and the accused are not common. She further points out that the interim order passed on 30.07.2012



was made absolute on 08.01.2013.

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 6 seeks some time to go through the case law(s) and address the submissions.
3. List on 23.08.2024.
4. In the meantime, case laws(s), if any, be placed on record.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 10, 2024

na