



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 431/2016**

SUNEEL KUMAR ATREYA & ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma,
Advocate along with Petitioners
in person.

versus

AQIL AHMED & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal,
Standing Counsel for MCD.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

%

23.07.2026

1. Mr. Sabharwal, learned Standing Counsel, appearing on behalf of the Municipal Corporation of Delhi [“MCD”], submits that South Extension is a pre-1962 colony. Learned counsel for the MCD further submits that although certain additional documents had been filed, *inter alia*, a communication indicating that South Extension is a pre-1962 colony, however, the same were unable to form part of the record.

2. The said submission with respect to South Extension being a pre-1962 colony is disputed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Master Plan for Delhi does not classify South Extension as a pre-1962 colony, and in this regard, he draws the attention of this Court to various documents forming part of the paper book to contend that the submission advanced on behalf of the MCD is contrary to the material



already available on record.

4. Upon being confronted with the aforesaid documents, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the MCD, seeks time to obtain further instructions. He further undertakes to ensure the presence of the concerned officer on the next date of hearing to explain the MCD's stand as to whether South Extension is to be treated as a pre-1962 colony.

5. At the request of learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the MCD, list on 31.08.2026.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
JULY 23, 2026/tk/dj