



\$~8

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS (OS) 2139/2014

SANGEET SHEKHAWAT & ANR. Plaintiffs

Through: Mohd. Yusuf, Advocate for P-1 with
P-1 in person. (M:9717116559)
Mr. M. A. Niyazi and Ms. Anamika
Niyazi, Advocates for P-2.
(M:9810413706)

versus

ANIL VEDI & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Lalit Bhardwaj and Mr. Jatin
Anand Dwivedi, Advocates for D-1.
(M:9212117811)
Mr. Amol Sharma, Advocate for D-
3/SCB. (M:9958609042)
Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, CGSC for UOI.
(M:9810109681)
Mr. Pratap Venugopal and Mr. Dileep
Poolakkot, Advocates for SEBI.
(M:9810600911)
Ms. Uttara Babbar, Ms. Bhavana
Duhoon and Mr. Ashwini Kakkar,
Advocates for CAMS.
(M:7769040463)
Mr. Karun Mehta and Mr. Yugam
Taneja, Advocates for Aditya Birla
Sunlife Asset Management.
(M:9899907419)
Mr. Punit Bhalla, Advocate for ICICI.
Inspector Dharmendra Kumar Singh,
EOW, Delhi Police. (M:9891895344)

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

%

26.04.2019

CS (OS) 2139/2014

Page 1 of 3



1. Mr. M. A. Niyazi, Id. counsel for Plaintiff No.2, submits that he has received the *vakalatnama* for Plaintiff No.2 – Mrs. Anita Vedi. However, the same was in legal-size sheet and a fresh one has been called for by him. The scanned copy of the *vakalatnama*, which is produced in Court today, is taken on record. Let the original *vakalatnama* be filed within two weeks.
2. Insofar as the mediation proceedings are concerned, the same have not proceeded due to parties' expression of certain reservations in respect of the Mediator appointed. Accordingly, **Mrs. Veena Ralli, Advocate (M:9899987093)** is appointed as the Mediator to explore amicable resolution of disputes between the parties. Mediation is stated to be fixed for today between the parties in a connected matter. Parties/counsels to appear before the Ld. mediator today.
3. Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Central Government Standing Counsel appearing for the Union of India has handed over a report from Central Foreigners Bureau, Intelligence Bureau, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, New Delhi, giving the details of arrival and departure of Late Shri Harbhajan Singh Vedi. The information contained in the report is confidential in nature. The same is taken on record and shall be retained in a sealed cover with the Deputy Registrar (Original). A copy of the same is provided to Inspector Dharmendra Kumar Singh, from Economic Offences Wing ('EOW') to enable him to use the information contained therein for further investigation.
4. Further status report has also been filed by EOW, which shows that one person has been arrested in view of the various transactions, which shows that 10 bank accounts were opened with the help of fake and forged documents. Let the investigation continue. Inspector Dharmendra Kumar



assures the Court that before the next date the charge sheet is likely to be filed.

5. On the next date, orders would be passed with regard to the Rs.51 lakhs, which have been illegally withdrawn for the account of late Shri Harbhajan Singh Vedi, despite the stay order passed by this Court. Ld. Counsels for all parties shall file a short synopsis on this aspect.

6. Ld. counsel for Plaintiff No.1 has submitted further information in respect of the amounts withdrawn from the account of Late Shri Harbhajan Singh Vedi. The same has been submitted in the form of a letter. The said information be also considered as being confidential. A copy of the said letter handed over by Plaintiff No.1 be also retained in a sealed cover with the Deputy Registrar (Original).

6. List on 28th May, 2019.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

APRIL 26, 2019/dk