



\$~23 & 24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 986/2013

P M SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Pramod Kr. Dubey, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Kaustubh
Chouhan, Mr. Anurag Andley and
Mr. Vikalp Sharma, Advocates.

versus

CBI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate for
CBI.

+ **CRL.A. 987/2013**

P M SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Pramod Kr. Dubey, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Kaustubh
Chouhan, Mr. Anurag Andley and
Mr. Vikalp Sharma, Advocates.

versus

CBI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate for
CBI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

21.03.2022

The proceedings in the matter have been conducted through hybrid mode [physical and virtual hearing].

CRL. M.A. 5088/2022 – Exemption (certified copies of annexures) in CRL.A. 986/2013

CRL. M.A. 5076/2022 – Exemption (certified copies of annexures) in CRL.A. 987/2013

CRL. M.A. 5083/2022 – Exemption (certified copies of annexures) in CRL.A. 987/2013

Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.



The applications stand disposed of.

CRL. M.A. 5077/2022 (Seeking permission to travel abroad) & CRL. M.A. 5087/2022 (Seeking release of passport) in CRL.A. 986/2013
CRL. M.A. 5075/2022 (Seeking release of passport) & CRL. M.A. 5082/2022 (Seeking permission to travel abroad) in CRL.A. 987/2013

1. Issue notice. Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/respondent-Central Bureau of Investigation [“CBI”]. The applications are taken up for disposal with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.
2. These applications have been filed by the appellant for release of her passport and permission to travel to the United States of America from 06.05.2022 to 05.08.2022.
3. The appellant has challenged a judgment dated 23.07.2013 and order on sentence dated 31.07.2013 passed by the learned Special Judge, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi, whereby she has been convicted for offences under Section 120B read with Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 [“IPC”] and Section 13(2) read with Section 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, and sentenced to three years’ rigorous imprisonment and four years’ rigorous imprisonment to run concurrently, as well as to a fine. The sentence has been suspended by an order dated 24.09.2013 passed by this Court. As a condition for suspension of sentence, the appellant was directed not to leave the country without the permission of the Court and to surrender her passport.
4. It is mentioned in the applications that the appellant has travelled overseas with the permission of the Court several times during the pendency of the appeal and has complied with the conditions imposed by the Court. The appellant has also filed copies of various orders passed by



this Court in this regard along with the applications. Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the CBI, does not dispute the veracity of these contentions.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid, the applications are allowed. The passport of the appellant may be released to her. She is also permitted to travel to the United States of America from 06.05.2022 to 05.08.2022, subject to the following conditions:-

- A. The appellant shall furnish the address of her stay during her visit abroad;
 - B. She shall furnish a security in the amount of ₹1 lakh in the form a FDR to the Registrar General of this Court;
 - C. She will file an affidavit to the effect that, in her absence, she will be represented by her counsel and that her counsel is authorised to make statements on her behalf;
 - D. She shall deposit her passport with the Registry of this Court within 48 hours of her return to India;
 - E. She shall not seek extension of her stay overseas and will ensure that she returns to India well in time.
6. The applications are disposed of in terms of the aforesaid directions.
7. A copy of this order be given *dasti*.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MARCH 21, 2022

'Bp'/'

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)