



\$~89, 90, 91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5202/2010, CM APPL. 35705/2018**

INDIAN AIRLINES KAMGAR SANGHTANPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh and Mr.
Prakhar Khanna, Advocates.

Versus

NATIONAL AVIATION COMPANY OF INDIA LTD
AND ANRRespondents

Through: Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Ms. Nina Gupta,
Ms. Vishali Sivagnanam, Mr. Ajay
Pratap Singh Advocate for non-
applicant / AIESL.
Mr. Saroj Bidawat, SPC for UOI.

90.

+ **W.P.(C) 9521/2018, CM APPL. 37098/2018**

INDIAN AIRLINES KAMGAR SANGHTANPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh and Mr.
Prakhar Khanna, Advocates.

Versus

AIR INDIA LIMITED AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Ms. Nina Gupta,
Ms. Vishali Sivagnanam, Mr. Ajay
Pratap Singh Advocate for non-
applicant / AIESL.
Ms. Anjana Gosain, Advocate for
UOI/ R-2 with Ms. Shreya Manjari
and Mr. Keshav Raheja,
Advocates.

91.

+ **W.P.(C) 1861/2022, CM APPL. 5333/2022 & CM APPL.**



20504/2023

AIR INDIA LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Nigam, Sr. Advocate
and Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Amit Mishra,
Ms. Mitakshara Goyal, Mr. Azeem
Samuel, Mr. Akhil Kulshrestha and
Mr. Shivam Goel, Advocates.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Ms. Nina Gupta,
Ms. Vishali Sivagnanam, Mr. Ajay
Pratap Singh Advocate for AIESL.
Ms. Anjana Gosain, Advocate for
UOI.
Ms. Asha Jain Madan and Mr.
Mukesh Jain, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

19.05.2025

CM APPL. 29878/2023 in W.P.(C) 5202/2010

CM APPL. 29894/2023 in W.P.(C) 9521/2018

1. These applications have been filed in the two captioned writ petitions seeking impleadment of Air India Engineering Services Limited ['AIESL'] as respondent No. 3.
2. Notice was issued in these applications on 02.08.2024, and time was granted for filing replies. Further directions for filing replies were issued on 18.12.2024.
3. Although no replies to the applications have been filed, Dr. Lalit



Bhasin, learned counsel, has entered appearance for the proposed respondent. He accepts that the employees who were members of the petitioner – Indian Airlines Kamgar Sanghatan [“Association”], have been transferred to AIESL in the year 2015. He, thereafter, does not object to the impleadment of AIESL, without prejudice to its rights and contentions in the writ petition. He further submits that a question will arise, as to whether the reliefs sought in the writ petition can be granted, despite the deletion of Air India Limited from the array of parties by order dated 02.08.2024.

4. Having regard to the fact that neither the existing respondents nor the proposed respondent object to the order of impleadment, these applications are allowed. Air India Engineering Services Limited is impleaded as respondent No. 2 in both the writ petitions. Amended memo of parties be filed within one week.

5. Applications stand disposed of accordingly.

W.P.(C) 5202/2010 and W.P.(C) 9521/2018

6. Newly impleaded respondent may file replies to the writ petitions within eight weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

7. List on 27.10.2025.

8. In the meantime, learned counsel may also file their joint written submissions and convenience compilation at least one week before the next date of hearing.

W.P.(C) 1861/2022

9. Although there is some controversy as to whether this writ petition is, in fact, required to be tagged with W.P.(C) 5202/2010 and W.P.(C)



9521/2018, all three writ petitions were directed to be listed together by separate orders dated 05.12.2024. The matters are, therefore, being listed together before the same Bench, pursuant to the orders of Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

10. List along with W.P.(C) 5202/2010 and W.P.(C) 9521/2018 on 27.10.2025.

11. Learned counsel for the parties may file written submissions and a convenience compilation of documents, at least one week before the next date of hearing.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 19, 2025/PB/SD/