



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 99/2016**

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Mr.
Siddhartha Goswami, Advocate.

versus

SANJAY KUMAR & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing
Counsel with Mr. MS Akhtar,
Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **23.04.2025**

CM APPL. 23525/2025 [Exemption from filing certified copies]

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 23524/2025 [Clarification]

3. This is an Application seeking clarification of the order dated 11.02.2025.
4. Learned Counsel for the Respondents/land owners submits that Paragraph 5(i) should read as “50% of the enhanced compensation amount deposited”.
5. Accordingly, Paragraph 5(i) of the order dated 11.02.2025 is modified to read as follows:

“5.(i) 50% of the enhanced amount deposited by the Appellant, along with interest accrued thereupon, shall be released to Respondent No.1 on furnishing an indemnity bond with an undertaking by way of an



affidavit to the satisfaction of the worthy Registrar General of this Court.”

6. The Application stands disposed of.
7. The parties shall act based on a digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

APRIL 23, 2025/pa

Click here to check corrigendum, if any