



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 102/2016**

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha,
Standing Counsel with Mr.
Siddharth Goswami, Ms.
Geetanjali Reddy and Mr.
Aditya Sachdeva, Advs.

versus

ASHOK KUMAR GHAI & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. S. K. Rout, Ms. Dimple
Dhamija, Mr. Aman Mehrotra
and Mr. Achin Saxena, Advs.
for R-1.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak,
Standing Counsel with
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and
Mr. M. S. Akhtar, Advs. for R-
2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **28.11.2025**

CM APPL. 74745/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 74744/202515 (for modification)

3. The present application has been filed by Respondent No. 1



seeking modification in paragraph no. 6 of the Order dated 24.09.2025 passed by this Court, to the extent that the word ‘differential’ be deleted therefrom.

4. The learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 submits that in paragraph no. 6 of the Order dated 24.09.2025, it has been stated that 50% of this differential amount be released to Respondent No. 1 on furnishing an indemnity bond, however, the word ‘differential’ in paragraph no. 6 of the Order has been inadvertently mentioned as the appellant had deposited only 50% of the enhanced/decretal compensation in terms of the Order dated 14.09.2016. She, therefore, prays that paragraph no. 6 of the Order dated 24.09.2025 be modified to the extent that the word ‘differential’ be deleted and by directing release of 50% enhanced/decretal amount deposited by the appellant to Respondent No. 1.

5. Heard.

6. This Court has perused the record including the Orders dated 24.09.2025 and 14.09.2016.

7. A perusal of the Order dated 14.09.2016 shows that only 50% of the decretal amount was directed to be deposited and in compliance thereto, the appellant has deposited the said amount on 05.11.2016.

8. Further, upon perusing the Order dated 24.09.2025, this Court notes that the reference to the word ‘differential’ amount in the said paragraph appears to be inadvertent.

9. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances, the present application is allowed and the word ‘differential’ inadvertently mentioned in paragraph no. 6 of the Order dated 24.09.2025 is



directed to be deleted and 50% of the deposited enhanced compensation be released to the applicant/respondent No. 1, subject to furnishing an indemnity bond to the satisfaction of the Registrar General, along with proportionate accrued interest in terms of the Order dated 24.09.2025.

10. List before the Registrar on 22nd December, 2025 for this purpose.

11. The application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

12. This order be read as corrigendum in conjunction with the Order dated 24.09.2025.

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2025

p/sm