



\$~46 & 47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

W.P.(C) 18300/2006

UOI & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Piyush Beniwal, Ms. Ruchita
Srivastava and Ms. Amisha P.
Dash, Advs.

versus

SHRI SURESH SHARMA & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tarun Singla, Mr. Arjun Sanjay
and Mr. Vasu Goyal, Advs.
Mr. Nishant Gautam, CGSC with
Mr Vardhman Kaushik, Mr.
Shaurya Mani Pandey and Mr.
Prithvi Raj Dey, Advs. for Land &
Development officer.

47

+ W.P.(C) 4154/2012

SURESH SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tarun Singla, Mr. Arjun Sanjay
and Mr. Vasu Goyal, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Kushagra Kumar, Proxy
Counsel for Mr. Ripudaman
Bhardwaj, CGSC for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

29.08.2025

%

1. An adjournment has been sought on behalf of Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, learned CGSC for UOI, on the ground that he is unwell.



2. Learned counsel appearing for Suresh Sharma i.e. respondent no.1 in *W.P.(C) 18300/2006* submits that the four policies being Official Order No.23/1976 dated 31.03.1976, Circular dated 02.08.1996, Circular dated 28.06.1996 and clarificatory amendment dated 26.06.2001 issued by the Government of India, which are essential for adjudication of the present petition, are required to be filed for proper adjudication of the present petitions.
3. Learned counsel for the UOI submits that he is unaware of the same, however, they shall be filed after taking appropriate instructions within a period of two weeks.
4. Learned counsel for the parties seek, and are granted, four weeks for filing composite written synopsis not exceeding *five* pages, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, along with duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon.
5. Renotify on 24.11.2025.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

AUGUST 29, 2025/bh