



\$~35 to 54 & 78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010135272023

+ CRL.M.C. 2645/2023, CRL.M.A. 9919/2023, CRL.M.A. 29668/2023

MOMIN ALIPetitioner

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

36

CNR No. DLHC010479832025

+ CRL.REV.P. 274/2025, CRL.M.A. 21160-21161/2025

SUDHAKAR ARYAPetitioner

versus

CBIRespondent

37

CNR No. DLHC010489192025

+ CRL.REV.P. 280/2025, CRL.M.A. 21417-21418/2025

RAJ KUMARPetitioner

versus

CBIRespondent

38

CNR No. DLHC010614552025

+ CRL.M.C. 5935/2025, CRL.M.A. 25297/2025

SHIV HARI PATHAKPetitioner

versus

CBIRespondent

39

CNR No. DLHC010172192026

+ CRL.M.C. 3084/2026, CRL.M.A. 12543-12544/2026

PRAVEEN KUMARPetitioner

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

40

CNR No. DLHC010077662024

+ CRL.REV.P. 234/2024, CRL.M.A. 5399-5400/2024

BHUSHAN KUMAR AZADPetitioner



versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent
41
CNR No. DLHC010077672024
+ CRL.REV.P. 235/2024, CRL.M.A. 5401-5402/2024
RAKESH PRASADPetitioner
versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent
42
CNR No. DLHC010213472024
+ CRL.REV.P. 519/2024, CRL.M.A. 11733/2024
CBIPetitioner
versus
SUDHIR KUMARRespondent
43
CNR No. DLHC010225762024
+ CRL.REV.P. 533/2024, CRL.M.A. 13885/2024
SATISH KUMAR & ANR.Petitioners
versus
CBIRespondent
44
CNR No. DLHC010688022024
+ CRL.REV.P. 1207/2024, CRL.M.A. 30459/2024
AMIT MALIK & ANR.Petitioners
versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent
45
CNR No. DLHC010691282024
+ CRL.REV.P. 1208/2024
GAGAN ARYAPetitioner
versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent
46
CNR No. DLHC010625742024
+ CRL.REV.P. 1154/2024, CRL.M.A. 28363/2024
DILIP KUMARPetitioner
versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent



47

#

CNR No. DLHC010625762024

+

CRL.REV.P. 1156/2024, CRL.M.A. 28379/2024

JASBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

48

#

CNR No. DLHC010637932024

+

CRL.REV.P. 1168/2024, CRL.M.A. 28716-28717/2024

SEEMA DEVI

.....Petitioner

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

49

#

CNR No. DLHC010130322025

+

CRL.REV.P. 99/2025

AMAN SINGH & ANR.

.....Petitioners

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

50

#

CNR No. DLHC010562152025

+

CRL.REV.P. 308/2025, CRL.M.A. 23564-23566/2025

DUSHYANT KUMAR ALIAS DUSHYANT GAUTAM

.....Petitioner

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

51

#

CNR No. DLHC010591552025

+

CRL.REV.P. 325/2025, CRL.M.A. 24526/2025

ANIL KUMAR @ BHOLA

.....Petitioner

versus

RUPESH KUMAR & ANR.

.....Respondents

52

#

CNR No. DLHC011090272025

+

CRL.REV.P. 49/2026, CRL.M.A. 2171/2026

ANIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

versus

CBI

.....Respondent

53



CNR No. DLHC010052032026
+ CRL.REV.P. 94/2026, CRL.M.A. 4861/2026
VIKAS RATHIPetitioner
versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

54

CNR No. DLHC010089582026
+ CRL.REV.P. 150/2026, CRL.M.A. 7539-7540/2026
RAM SINGHPetitioner
versus
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

78

CNR No. DLHC011104612025
+ CRL.REV.P. 275/2026, CRL.M.A. 13513/2026, CRL.M.A. 13514/2026
CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONPetitioner
versus
RAJENDRA MEEL AND ANRRespondents
Through:

Appearance: Mr. Krishan Kumar, Mr. Aman Bhati, Advs. in item no.39 for petitioner
Mr. Anupam Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, SPP with Mr. Amit Kumar Rana, Advocate for CBI
Mr. Kamal Kant Goel, SPP with Ms. Jyoti Goel, Advocates for CBI in item no.54
Mr. Premtosh K. Mishra, SPP and Mr. Anubhav Upadhyay, Advocate for CBI in item 35
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Advocate for petitioner in item 51
Mr. Karunankar Mahalik, Ms. Saloni Sharan and Mr. Manmohan, Advs. for petitioners in item nos.36, 37, 43, 49, 50 & 52
Mr. Sandeep Sharma for petitioners in item nos.35, 40 & 41
Mr. Atul Guleria, SPP with Mr. Aryan Rakesh, Advocate for CBI in item nos.43 & 53

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE



ORDER
03.08.2026

%

1. Learned SPP for the CBI seeks adjournment since the erstwhile nominated counsel has been designated as a senior counsel.
2. Learned SPP referring to the order dated 06.07.2026 passed by a co-ordinate bench of this Court in *Ajay Kumar Vs. CBI* in CRL.REV.P.369/2026 submits that the issue with regards to the validity of prosecution sanction has been settled therein and as such, the petitions filed by the private petitioners being infructuous, are not maintainable.
3. As such, renotify on 14.09.2026.
4. Interim order(s), if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.
5. A copy of this order be kept in all the connected matters.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

AUGUST 3, 2026/So