



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 180/2026, CM APPL. 12214/2026

BALRAJ SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rohit Kumar Modi, Advocate

versus

CHUNNU & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

28.04.2026

CM APPL. 12213/2026

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

RFA 180/2025, CM APPL. 12214/2026

3. Regular First Appeal under Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) has been filed against the judgment dated 16.12.2025 whereby the Suit of the Plaintiff/Appellant for possession, recovery of damages and mesne profits against the Respondents, has been rejected under Order VII Rule 11 CPC.
4. The Plaintiff had put up a specific case that he had purchased the property on Agreement to Sell, GPA, etc., on 08.06.2015 from Sh. Lal Singh who in turn, had purchased this property from the Defendants *vide* GPA, etc., dated 27.03.2014.
5. It is submitted that on the request of the Respondents, they were allowed to continue in the possession of the Suit premises as tenants. It is



claimed that the Suit has been wrongly rejected under Order VII Rule 11 CPC.

6. On filing PF, Notice be issued to the Respondents through ordinary electronic modes, returnable before the learned JR on 28.05.2026.

7. E-Trial Court Record be summoned on or before the next date of hearing.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

APRIL 28, 2026

N