



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 34/2015**

SHRI ANUJ UBEROI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kuljeet Rawal, Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Adv. for R-2
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Sanjay Khanna, Ms. Pragya
Bhushan, Mr. Karandeep Singh
& Mr. Tarandeep Singh, Adv.
for R-3.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

11.01.2024

%

**I.A.No.23509/2023 (under Order XVI Rule 9 & Section
151 CPC r/w Section 67 & 69 of Indian Evidence filed
on behalf of the petitioner) &**

**I.A.No.23510/2023 (under Section 151 CPC r/w Section
5 of Limitation Act filed on behalf of the petitioner for
condonation of delay of 61 days in re-filing IA
No.23509/2023)**

1. As per office note, reply to the captioned IAs not filed.
2. Learned counsel for respondent no.3 submits that he has filed an application seeking condonation of delay in filing reply to the captioned IAs vide diary no.45655/24 along with reply vide diary no.43777/23. However, the same has not come on record. Learned counsel for



respondent no.1 is requested to check it up with the Registry and have the same placed on record.

3. Let reply be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.
4. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.
5. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and consideration on the captioned IAs on **02nd April, 2024.**

TEST.CAS. 34/2015

6. Evidence of PW-3 is being recorded before learned Local Commissioner.
7. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **02nd April, 2024.**

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 11, 2024/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any