



\$~53

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAC.APP. 144/2026, CM APPL. 11514/2026, CM APPL.  
11516/2026 & CM APPL. 11517/2026

ANJU DHIMAN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Tribindh Kumar, Adv.

versus

MR D N GROVER AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shruti Jain, Adv. for Mr. Pankaj  
Seth, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

% **19.02.2026**

**CM APPL. 11515/2026 (for exemption)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

**MAC.APP. 144/2026**

1. This appeal concerns a claim filed by respondent no.1/*D N Grover* in relation to an accident that took place on 19<sup>th</sup> January 2009, when he was crossing road with his wife. While crossing the road *near Girdhari Lal Hospital, Rajnagar*, respondent no.1 was hit by a motorcycle bearing no. *DL-8-SW-3571* and sustained grievous injuries.
2. A claim petition was filed against the driver and registered owner. Admittedly, *Satish Kumar Arya*, respondent no.2, was the driver who was apprehended at the spot, whereas *Anju Dhiman*, the appellant herein, was the registered owner. Previous owner of the vehicle was one *Suresh Kumar*, from



whom the vehicle was transferred to appellant, and she stated that she had further transferred the vehicle to *Satish Kumar Arya*, respondent no.2.

3. Initially, the award was passed but was challenged before this Court, which set aside the award *vide* order dated 14<sup>th</sup> September 2017. The matter was remanded back, particularly in relation to registered owner. Subsequently, an award was passed *ex-parte* on 29<sup>th</sup> November 2024, as appellant [*Anju Dhiman*], failed to appear before the Tribunal and could not, therefore, corroborate the contention of having sold motorcycle to *Satish Kumar Arya*.

4. Appellant then moved an application under Order IX Rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 [*CPC*] in 2025, stating that she could not appear as she had moved to *Himachal Pradesh*. The application was dismissed by the Tribunal *vide* order dated 1<sup>st</sup> November 2025.

5. Relevant orders passed by this Court and relevant documents that form part of the Trial Court Record (*'TCR'*) are required to be placed on record of this Court for the grounds of appeal to be appreciated.

6. Counsel for appellant requests for a deferment since the main arguing counsel is not available today.

7. Considering that execution proceedings are listed for 15<sup>th</sup> March 2026, re-notify this appeal on 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2026 2026 in the '*Supplementary List*'.

8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**FEBRUARY 19, 2026/sm/tk**