



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC011096992025
+ **RFA(COMM) 88/2026, CM APPL. 8587/2026, CM APPL. 8588/2026
& CM APPL. 8589/2026**

SHAILSUTA LOGISTICS PVT LTDAppellant
Through: **Ms. Mana Singh, Adv.**

versus

EMAMI LTDRespondent
Through: **Mr. Shivam Gandhi, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **20.08.2026**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that he wanted to file reply to the appeal rather than reply to the stay application. However, it has been wrongly recorded in order dated 27.04.2026, due to inadvertence.
2. Considering that no stay application has been filed by the appellant, paragraph no.1 of the order dated 27.04.2026 shall now be read as:
“Learned counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file reply to the appeal”
3. Let reply to the appeal be filed within four weeks.
4. List this case on 23.11.2026.

DINESH MEHTA, J.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J.

AUGUST 20, 2026/kk