



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 43/2026**
SMT. SURESHANA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Kamal Sharma, Adv.

versus

SH. PRADEEP KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mayank Barua, Adv.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER
17.07.2026

%

File is placed before this Court vide order dated 06.02.2026 for completion of service and pleadings.

Matter is pending for filing the vakalatnama and for filing reply.

As per office note, vakalatnama has not been filed by the respondent and reply filed by respondent is lying under objection. Let objection be removed and have the reply placed on record.

Let vakalatnama be filed by the respondent within two weeks.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that he has also moved the application for condonation of delay in filing the reply and the same is lying under objection. Let objection be removed and have the reply placed on record.

Learned counsel for appellant submits that he does not have any objection to the delay being condoned in filing reply.

Learned counsel for appellant submits that he has received the copy of reply and seeks time to file rejoinder. Heard. Allowed.



Rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks after supplying the advance copy to the opposite side.

Renotify on 27.10.2026.

**BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

JULY 17, 2026/yo