



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1444/2026, CM APPL. 7026/2026

DALJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Panda, Mr. Rajneesh
Kumar Sharma, Mr. Ritank Kumar
and Mr. Harjot, Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Shahana Farah (Addl. Standing
Counsel), Mr. Abhigyan, Ms. Reya
Paul, Ms. Amruta Padhi, Ms. Nidhi
Singh, Advs.

Mr Ayush Gandhi, Adv for Ms.
Zubeda Begum, Adv for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

02.02.2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

- i. Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or any direction thereby directing respondent no. 1 to cancel the conveyance deed that was transferred in favor of respondent no. 2 as arbitrary and bad in law.*
- ii. Pass any such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper on the facts and in the circumstances of the case, in favour of the Petitioner and against the Respondent.*

2. It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner, that there is a title dispute of the property for which the conveyance has been executed in



favour of respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

3. For the reasons states, issue notice.
4. Mr. Farah, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1. Ms. Begum, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3.
5. On petitioner taking requisite steps, let notice be issued to be remaining respondents, returnable on 27.04.2026.
6. Since there is a dispute regarding the conveyance deed executed by respondent No.1 in favour of respondent No. 2 to 4 and since the petition is stating that the property belongs to the petitioner, the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 shall maintain *status quo* only with regard to the title of the property in question.
7. List on 27.04.2026.

JASMEET SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 2, 2026/rr