



2026:DHC:6075

\$~41, 42 & 43

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ CONT.CAS(C) 419/2016  
NIDHI SURI ..... Petitioner  
Through Mr. V. Harish Katyal, Advocate  
versus

BALDEV RAJ ARORA & ORS SHREE GEETA BHAWAN  
MODEL SEC SCHOOL ..... Respondent  
Through Mr. Puneet Taneja and Mr.  
Manmohan Singh, Advocates  
Mr. Satyakam, ASC GNCTD for R- 2  
& 3

+ CONT.CAS(C) 421/2016  
SUSHMA KAMRA ..... Petitioner  
Through Mr. V. Harish Katyal, Advocate  
versus

BALDEV RAJ ARORA & ORS ..... Respondents  
Through Mr. Puneet Taneja and Mr.  
Manmohan Singh, Advocates  
Mr. Satyakam, ASC GNCTD for R- 2  
& 3

+ CONT.CAS(C) 422/2016  
INDU BALA ..... Petitioner  
Through Mr. V. Harish Katyal, Advocate  
versus

BALDEV RAJ ARORA & ORS ..... Respondents  
Through Mr. Puneet Taneja and Mr.  
Manmohan Singh, Advocates  
Mr. Satyakam, ASC GNCTD for R- 2  
& 3

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL**



2026:DHC:6075

**ORDER**

% **29.04.2019**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in terms of order dated 06.03.2019, he has supplied a copy of a proposal by way of an affidavit along with copies of bank statements and FDR to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a proposal submitted by respondents is not acceptable to them.

In these circumstances, list on 09.10.2019 for arguments.

**SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J**

**APRIL 29, 2019**

**rr**