



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 157/2026 & I.A. 1790/2026**

KAMLESH KUMAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Atul Parmar, Mr. Abhishek
Parmar, Ms. Kirti Parmar, Mr.
Naveen Yadav Advocates

versus

MEGA MART VENTURES INDIA PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

22.01.2026

I.A. 1791/2026

1. This Application has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for condonation of delay of 11 days in re-filing the present Petition.
2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the same is allowed and the delay in re-filing the Petition is condoned.
3. The application is disposed of.

ARB.P. 157/2026

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 by the Petitioner seeking appointment of an Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes which have arisen between the parties under the Franchise Agreement dated 31.05.2025 [**“the Agreement”**].



2. Material on record indicates that the parties entered into the Agreement for setting up a Franchise-Department Store.
3. Disputes arose between the parties on account of non-performance of obligations on part of the Respondent.
4. Clause 12 of the Agreement contains an arbitration clause. Sub-Clause 'c' under Clause 12 indicates that the venue of arbitration shall be at Delhi. There is no other *contrary indicia* which shows that the parties have decided that the seat of the arbitration to be at any other place than Delhi. A notice dated 31.10.2025 under Section 21 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 was sent by the Petitioner to the Respondent invoking arbitration and claiming a sum of Rs. 1,41,341/-, which according to the Petitioner is due and payable by the Respondent.
5. Issue notice.
6. On taking steps, let a notice be issued to the Respondent through all permissible modes, including *Dasti*.
7. List on 16.03.2026.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JANUARY 22, 2026

Prateek