



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.REV.P.(NI) 14/2026**

GHULAM HAIDER

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Samama Suhail with Mr. Dilshad
Ali, Mr. Emaduddin Kirmani,
Advocates.

versus

ARSHAD HASSAN

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

%

12.02.2026

CRL.M.A. 2116/2026 (exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.REV.P.(NI) 14/2026

1. Petitioner herein had filed a complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881.
2. Learned Trial Court held the concerned accused guilty but when the accused filed an appeal before the learned First Appellate Court, such judgment has been set aside and it is in the abovesaid backdrop that the present Revision Petition has been filed.
3. The complainant has been non-suited, primarily, for the reason that he has not bothered to furnish any details as to how he had paid cash amount of Rs.39 lacs. Since there was no receipt or acknowledgment with respect to any such payment, therefore, the learned First Appellate Court was of the

CRL.REV.P.(NI) 14/2026

1



view that the case of the complainant was suffering from *vice of vagueness* and it, resultantly, held that the statutory presumptions stood rebutted.

4. Issue notice to respondent through all permissible modes, returnable on 22.05.2026.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2026

st/js