



\$~4

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
ARB.P. 102/2026 & I.A. 987/2026 (Ex. From filing original documents)

SUBHASH CHANDER

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Nisha Narayanan, Proxy
Counsel for Ms. Rajsree Ajay,
Advocate through video-
conferencing.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, THROUGH
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, Mr.
Siddhartha Goswami, Mr.
Aditya Sachdeva and Mr.
Geetanjali Reddy, Advocates.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

%

18.02.2026

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent raises a preliminary objection to the present Petition and submits that the same is barred by the principles of constructive *res judicata* since certain aspects which relate to the Agreement, in respect of which the present petition has been filed, have already been adjudicated upon, having been the subject matter of another arbitration proceedings.
2. In view thereof, he seeks and is granted a period of ten (10) days to file his Reply to the same.
3. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of four (4) days thereafter.
4. Accordingly, list on 17.03.2026.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
FEBRUARY 18, 2026/tk/va/jk