



\$~11 & 12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 431/2011 & CM APPL. 23712/2011

RAMBIR SINGH Appellant

Through: Mr. Dilip Singh, Advocate
along with appellant in person

versus

PURNIMA SINGH & ORS Respondents

Through: Ms. Payal Jain, Mr. Sumit
Kumar, Advocates

12 AND

+ RFA 432/2011

RAMBIR SINGH Appellant

Through: Mr. Dilip Singh, Advocate
along with appellant in person

versus

PURNIMA SINGH & ORS Respondents

Through: Ms. Payal Jain, Mr. Sumit
Kumar, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

15.03.2023

%

[Physical Hearing/ Hybrid Hearing]

1. Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has been able to procure copy of the Trial Court record only yesterday, i.e., 14.03.2023. Thus, he submits that he needs time to peruse the same and also file a comparative chart with respect to finding in the two judgments in the present appeals.



2. On the other hand, ld. counsel for respondents submits that there is a decree in favour of the respondent No.1, who is a doctor by profession. She submits that there is a registered Sale Deed in favour of the respondent No.1 who intended to run a clinic from the shop in question. However, she submits that appellant being a property dealer is in possession of the shop in question, despite decree being in favour of the respondents.

3. In view thereof, list this matter for hearing on 26.04.2023.

4. In the meanwhile, parties are also at liberty to file their written submissions along with judgments they wish to rely upon before the next date of hearing.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

MARCH 15, 2023

au