



\$~25

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LPA 268/2018 & CM APPLS. 19348-49/2018

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms Kunjala Bhardwaj, proxy counsel
for Mr Kirtiman Singh, CGSC with
Major Partho Katyayan

versus

COL NAVRAJ SINGH GREWAL & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Chartiath Palli, Adv. for R-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MS JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

04.11.2022

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. We are informed by counsel for the respondent no. 1 i.e., the contesting respondent that one of the issues that arises in present appeal is covered in favour of the said respondent by a judgment dated 09.11.2022 rendered by one of us, sitting singly, titled ***Union of India & Ors. vs. Col. V.K. Shad*** passed in W.P.(C) 499/2012.

1.1. We are told that this judgment has been carried in an appeal which is numbered LPA 74/2013, titled ***Union of India & Ors. vs. V.K. Shad***.

1.2. We are further informed that the said appeal is pending adjudication before DB-I and that *via* order dated 04.02.2013, the operation of the aforementioned judgment has been stayed.

1.3. Furthermore, we are informed that the appeal has been admitted *via* order dated 21.05.2013.

LPA 268/2018

Page 1 of 2



2. Accordingly, at the request of the parties, list the above-captioned appeal before DB-I on 25.11.2022, subject to the orders of the Hon'ble Chief Justice.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2022/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)