



\$~8

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 3/2026

GYAN CHAND SETHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Gaur and Mr. Pulkit  
Srivastava, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kanu Garg and Mr. N. Sai Vinod,  
Advs. for R-3  
Mr. Apoorva Bhumesb and Ms.  
Madhavi Khare, Advs. for R-4 & 7

**CORAM:**

**DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

% **29.04.2026**

**I.A. 574/2026 (filed by petitioner under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)**

1. Reply filed by defendant no. 4 but is lying under objection.
2. Learned counsel for defendants no. 4 & 7 submitted that defendant no. 7 is also adopting the reply filed by defendant no. 4.
3. No reply filed by defendant no. 3.
4. Defendant no. 2, 5 & 6 are not yet served.

**I.A. 11960/2026 (filed by defendant no. 4 seeking condonation of delay in filing reply to the petition)**

&

**I.A. No. 12054/2026 (filed by defendant no. 4 seeking condonation of delay in filing reply to the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC)**

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that he is having no objection and application may be allowed as per law.



6. Heard.
7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, the delay in filing reply of the petition as well as delay in filing reply to the I.A. 574/2026 stands condoned.
8. Same may be re-filed within two days with copy to opposite party.
9. Both captioned I.As stand allowed and **disposed of**.

**TEST.CAS. 3/2026**

10. Respondent no. 1 is State.
11. Pleadings qua respondents no. 4 & 7.
12. Respondent no. 3 has already been served. Today, learned counsel for respondent no.3 appeared and submitted that she had filed the vakalatnama and will file the reply.
13. Respondents no. 2, 5 & 6 are not yet served.
14. Let fresh notice be issued to the respondents no. 2, 5 & 6 through all approved modes, on taking necessary steps on behalf of the petitioner for the next date of hearing.
15. Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Kanoongo appeared from the office of SDM, Vishwas Nagar, Vikas Marg, New Delhi and submitted the hard copy of valuation report qua the property bearing no. 58, New Rajdhani Enclave, Vikas Marg, New Delhi. Same is taken on record.
16. No valuation reports have been filed by the other Revenue Authorities. Let fresh Court Notice be issued to the concerned SDM, Meera Path, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur and the SDM Danta, District Sikar, Rajasthan through the concerned DM to file the valuation report for next date of hearing.



17. Publication has not been done. Let same be completed in compliance of para no. 6 of order dated 18.03.2026.
18. Let the service and pleadings be completed in accordance with law.
19. At joint request, list the matter before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed i.e. 05.05.2026.

**DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**APRIL 29, 2026/tp**