



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.R.P. 6/2026**

DELHI TRANSCO LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Anubha Dhulia Adv.**

versus

VAKIL CHAND AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Praveen Suri and Ms. Amol Kaur, Adv.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

03.02.2026

CM APPL. 3957/2026

1. This application has been filed by respondent no.1 for rejection of Civil Revision Petition on account of the fact that, *Sh. Rajeev Sharma*, who has filed Revision Petition on behalf of petitioner, does not have due authority.
2. *Ms. Anubha Dhulia*, counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner at the very outset states that *Mr. Rajeev Sharma* has due authority based on DOP which is also a public document and *Sh. Rajeev Sharma* is the same person who had filed the suit before the Trial Court.
3. *Ms. Dhulia* shall file a response and place the relevant documents on record.
4. Further, *Ms. Anubha Dhulia's* appearance may be considered as recorded in order dated 12th January 2026 for the petitioner, at her request.



5. List on 20th April 2026, the date already fixed.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

FEBRUARY 3, 2026/ak/zb