



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 5/2026**
NISHA

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Neetu Gupta, Adv.

versus

PARVEEN KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sachin Chaudhary, Adv.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER

26.05.2026

%

File is placed before this Court vide order dated 19.02.2026 for completion of service and pleadings.

Matter is pending for filing the reply.

As per office note, vakalatnama has been filed by the respondent and reply has not been filed.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that he does not wish to file any reply, however, he has filed the written synopsis. The same is not on record. Learned counsel is directed to check up with the registry and have the same placed on record before the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for appellant submits that she has received the copy of written synopsis filed by the respondent and seeks time to file response to the same. Heard. Allowed.

Rejoinder to the written synopsis, if any, be filed within eight weeks after supplying the advance copy to the opposite side.

Renotify on 15.09.2026.

BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

MAY 26, 2026/yo