



\$~68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 19/2026

SUMIT SAHNI & ANR.

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Arundhati Katju, Mr Lakshay Saini, Mr Himanshu Tyagi, Ms. Jaspriya Poply, Ms Prema Sharma, Advocates.

versus

ANURADHA MEHTA & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Appearance not given.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **08.01.2026**

CM APPL. 1124/2026 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 1123/2026 (under Order XLI Rule 5 read with Section 151 CPC on behalf of the Appellants seeking Stay of Operation and Execution of the Impugned Judgment and Decree dated 08.12.2025)

3. An Application has been filed for stay of the impugned Decree.
4. Learned counsel for the Appellant is insisting that in the interim Status Quo Order be granted. On the other hand she herself is stating that the Status Quo Order has already been granted in her favour in her Suit for Specific Performance. Additionally, it is admitted that no Execution Petition has been filed till date.
5. No interim stay in the circumstances is, therefore, made out.



RFA 19/2026

6. An Appeal under Section 96 read with Order 41 Rule 11 of CPC has been filed on behalf of the Appellants against the Judgment and Decree dated 08.12.2025 passed by learned District Judge-02, Shahdara, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, vide which the Appellant has been directed to handover the peaceful land vacant possession of the Suit property to the Plaintiff/Respondent and is also directed to not to create any third party rights in the Suit property.
7. Learned counsel on behalf of the Respondents has appeared on advance Notice and seeks time to file the Reply.
8. The Reply be filed within four weeks with copy to the opposite counsel.
9. Written submission be also filed by both the parties.
10. E-TCR be also summoned for the next date of hearing.
8. List on 28.04.2026.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JANUARY 8, 2026/va