



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RSA 2/2026, CM APPL. 605/2026, CM APPL.606/2026 (delay)
SHRI SATISH KUMARAppellant

Through: Mr. Narendra Kalra, Advocate.
versus

SHRI RANDHIR SINGHRespondent
Through: None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
24.04.2026

%

RSA 2/2026

1. Regular Second Appeal under Section 100 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (*hereinafter referred to as 'CPC'*) has been filed on behalf of the Appellant against the Order of learned ASCJ dated 06.10.2025 whereby the Order of the learned Civil Judge, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi dated 24.09.2024 rejecting the Suit of the Plaintiff/Appellant under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, has been upheld.
2. The Suit had been filed by the Plaintiff/Appellant admitting the Defendant's father to be the owner but claimed that he is in possession of the Suit Property and he may not be dispossessed, without the due process of law.
3. The learned Civil Judge has rejected the Suit by observing that the Defendant was admittedly the owner and therefore, no Injunction could be granted. This Order has been upheld by the Appellant.
4. On filing of PF/RC, Notice of the Appeal be issued to the Respondent,



through ordinary post and electronic mode, returnable on 10.08.2026.

5. E-Trial Court Record be summoned for the said date.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 24, 2026/RS