



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 3/2026 & CM APPL. 641/2026, CM APPL. 19983/2026**

SH BIJENDER SINGHAppellant

Through: *Appearance not given.*

versus

MS JAIDEVIRespondent

Through: Ms. Prachi Gupta,
Adv./DHCLSC with
Respondent in VC

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

% **ORDER**
20.04.2026

CM APPL. 644/2026 (Delay-10 Days in Filing)

1. This is an application filed by the appellant under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, seeking condonation of delay of 10 days in filing the present appeal.
2. No objection to the application seeking condonation of delay is filed by the respondent.
3. In view of the reasons assigned in the application, sufficient cause has been shown. The present application is allowed and the delay of 10 days in filing the appeal is condoned.
4. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 3/2026



5. The present appeal has been filed under section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984 against the Order dated 13.11.2025 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court-02, South East District, Saket Court, Delhi in HMA no. 73/2024 titled “***Bijender Singh v. Jaidevi.***”, whereby the learned Family Court had granted interim maintenance of Rs. 50,000/- per month to the wife/respondent.
6. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the learned Family Court ignored the fact that respondent is also earning more than the appellant.
7. Both parties are directed to file their latest income affidavits, along with salary slip and proof of rental income, if any, received by them.
8. List on 08.05.2026.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

APRIL 20, 2026_{/pr/kz}