



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 35/2026**

NORTH EAST CENTRE FOR TECHNOLOGY APPLICATION

AND REACH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raghavendra Mohan Bajaj, Mr.
Kumar Karan, Mr. Sajal Awasthi and
Mr. Pritesh Raj, Advs.

versus

M/S RHINO BAMBOO INDUSTRY

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

07.01.2026

%

I.A. 228/2026 (filed by petitioner under Section 151 CPC, 1908 seeking exemption from filing certified copies of annexures)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applicant shall file legible and clear copies of exempted documents compliant with the practice rules before the next date.
3. The application stands disposed of.

ARB.P. 35/2026

4. The present petition has been filed under Section 11(5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the parties under the Agreement dated 22.03.2007.



5. Mr. Raghavendra Mohan Bajaj, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that the arbitration clause contained in the agreement provided for unilateral appointment of the Arbitrator. Accordingly, the Arbitrator was appointed by the petitioner unilaterally and the award also came to be passed.
6. However, the said award was subsequently set aside under Section 34 read with Section 37 of the Act.
7. Hence, the present application has been filed seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.
8. He invites attention of the Court to the Clause XV which provides for the arbitration, to contend that the said clause provides for the exclusive jurisdiction of the Courts in Delhi.
9. In view of the above, issue notice to the respondent by all permissible modes, returnable on 16.02.2026.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

JANUARY 7, 2026

N.S. ASWAL