



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 9/2026 & CRL.M.A. 154/2026**
AJMER SINGH@PINKAAppellant
Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul with Mr. Sharma,
Mr. Aman and Ms. Simran and Ms.
Chopra, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondent
Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State with
SI Anjali.
Ms. Geeta Oberoi, Adv (DHCLSC)
for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

29.04.2026

%

CRL.M.(BAIL) 9/2026

1. The appellant along with one Umesh Singh, were facing trial and as far as Umesh Singh is concerned, he has been acquitted of all the offences, whereas the applicant herein has been held guilty for offences under Sections 376/506/354(B) IPC and Section 66 (E) of *Information Technology Act, 2000* and has been, *inter alia*, sentenced to maximum period of 14 years.
2. Appellant seeks suspension of sentence, primarily, citing the reason that there is no likelihood of appeal being heard in near future.
3. The Trial Court Record has been received and as per the broad allegations and the evidence appearing against the appellant, he clicked nude photographs of the prosecutrix and prepared her video without her consent and committed rape upon her and also intimidated her that he would viral such obscene video, in case, she disclosed the incident to anyone.
4. The Court has also seen the testimony of the PW-2 (prosecutrix BB), wherein she has reiterated the abovesaid allegations.

CRL.A. 9/2026

1



5. The stand of the appellant before the learned Trial Court was to the effect that he has been falsely implicated in the matter as there was some dispute between the two families with respect to a *naali*/drain and, therefore, he has been falsely implicated by the prosecutrix upon the instigation of her grandparents.
6. However, keeping in mind the nature of the allegations and seriousness of the matter and the fact that the appellant has, merely, completed two years of incarceration as on date, this Court does not find any compelling reason to suspend the sentence at this stage.
7. The application is, accordingly, dismissed.
8. Appellant, however, be at liberty to file application afresh, at later stage.

MANOJ JAIN, J

APRIL 29, 2026/sw/sa