



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 28/2026& CRL.M.A. 40/2026 & CRL.M.A. 41/2026

MUKESH KUMAR & ORS.Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shefali Gandhi, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP with SI
Mahendra Koli.
Mr. Bhisham Singh, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **06.05.2026**

1. Learned counsel for petitioners, in all fairness, submits that earlier she was not having requisite instructions and, therefore, under some wrong impression she had stated that the charges have been ascertained. She submits that the case is still at the stage of consideration on charge.
2. It is submitted that apart from Anoop Kumar, there are two more persons who had received injuries in the incident in question, i.e. Vishwanath and his friend Vinod. However, they both are not made parties in the present proceedings.
3. On oral request, both the abovesaid injured persons are also impleaded as respondents in the present petition.
4. Let amended *Memo of Parties* be, accordingly, placed on record within 10 days from today.
5. Concerned SHO/IO is directed to get in touch with them and to ask them to participate in the present proceedings, either physically or through *video-conferencing*, on the next date of hearing i.e. 13.07.2026.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MAY 6, 2026/sw/sa