



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 6/2026 & I.A. 25/2026**

M/S SVARRNIM INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTDPetitioner

Through: Yash Kadyan, Adv.

versus

M/S WAPCOS LTDRespondent

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu and Ms. Akansha Vidyarthi, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

% **23.04.2026**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent raises a preliminary objection that the dispute resolution mechanism provided in clause 25 of the contract was not complied with and the petition is pre-mature.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon paragraph 17 of notice dated 30.05.2025 wherein the proposed name for mediation proceedings by the petitioner was not responded to by the respondent and thereafter the petitioner was forced to issue notice under Section 21 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for seeking appointment of the arbitrator.
3. The issuance of notice dated 30.05.2025 and the name having been proposed by the petitioner for appointment of mediator has neither been specifically denied nor is it the case set up that this notice was responded to.
4. In such circumstances, the objections raised have no merit.
5. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the respondent contends



that the parties be given an opportunity to make an attempt for dispute resolution.

6. Put up on 25.05.2026.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

APRIL 23, 2026/Pa