



\$~19.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 1809/2011

SUSHIL NARULA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Kishlay Komal and Mr. Vikash
Kumar, Advs.

versus

VIJAY NARULA & ORS

..... Defendants

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **01.05.2018**

IA No.5881/2018 (for exemption).

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

IA No.5880/2018 (of the plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the CPC).

3. On a reading of paras 3 & 4 of the application, it is quite evident that Dharamveer Pradhan to whom the defendants are alleged to have parted with the property is in possession of the property since prior to the interim order under Order XXXIX Rules 1&2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) and nothing has been shown to have been additionally done after the interim order dated 27th February, 2017.
4. The application as per its own contents does not lie under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the CPC and is dismissed.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

MAY 01, 2018

‘pp’..