



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 19766/2025**

BIDYUT CHAKRABARTY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anandh Venkataramani,
Ms. Anjali Agarwal and Mr. Devvrat Singh,
Adv.

versus

**UNIVERSITY OF DELHI THROUGH ITS VICE
CHANCELLOR & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Mr.
Hardik Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra and
Ms. Tripta Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER

%

09.01.2026

CM APPL. 1359/2026

1. By this application, the University of Delhi seeks a modification of order dated 24 December 2025 to the extent this Court directed stay of further recoveries from the petitioner.

2. Mr. Rupal, appearing for the University of Delhi, submits that the petitioner is a Senior Officer who retired as a Professor of the University and Vice Chancellor of another University and that the amount that has been recovered pertains to the period during which the petitioner over-stayed in his official accommodation.



3. We were not inclined to grant the relief sought by the University upfront and, therefore, called upon learned Counsel for the petitioner as to whether his client was willing to make a statement or subscribe to an undertaking to the effect that, if the petitioner were to fail in the writ petition or if the stay application of the petitioner were to be dismissed, the petitioner would deposit the amount demanded along with the interest accrued upto that date.

4. Mr. Venkataramani, learned Counsel for the petitioner sought a pass-over to take instructions.

5. When the matter was called after pass-over, he submits that he does not have instructions to make such a statement.

6. He instead submits that his client is willing to withdraw CM Appl. 82579/2025.

7. Accordingly, CM Appl. 82579/2025 stands disposed of as withdrawn.

8. The interim order dated 24 December 2025 would *ipso facto* stand vacated.

9. Mr. Venkataramani submits that the retiral dues of his client have also been withheld. The submission is noted. However, this Court is not expressing any opinion in that regard.



10. The present application stands disposed of.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

JANUARY 9, 2026/AR