



\$~19

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 966/2025

AJAY MALIK & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Vrinda Awasthi, Ms. Aaroahi
Malik, Mr. Kharanshu Rana &
Ms. Akshara Popli, Advs.
(Mobile No. 9810017346)

versus

RAJIV TARA & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Kirti Mewar, Adv. for D-1
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Anubhav Gupta, (Panel
Counsel, Civil, GNCTD) with
Ms. Kashish Bansal, Advs. for
D-2
Mr. Vijay Sharma, Adv. for D-3
& 4 (appeared through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL**

ORDER

07.07.2026

%

**IA No. 32989/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2
CPC)**

1. Vide order dated 09.04.2026, pleadings in the captioned
IA already stand concluded.

CS(OS) 966/2025

2. As per office note, the plaintiff has filed replication to
the written statement of Defendant Nos. 3 and 4 along
with the affidavit of admission/denial.
3. The plaintiff has also filed certain documents along
with the replication.
4. Learned counsel for Defendant Nos. 3 and 4 raises an
objection that the replication has not been filed within
the stipulated period of 30 days and that no application
seeking condonation of delay has been filed.



5. In reply, learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the replication was filed within the stipulated period.
6. Learned counsel for Defendant Nos. 3 and 4 also objects to the filing of documents along with the replication on the ground that the said documents could not have been filed without obtaining leave of the Court.
7. At this stage, arguments on the aforesaid objections could not be heard, as learned counsel for Defendant Nos. 3 and 4 is appearing through video conferencing and is not properly audible.
8. Accordingly, the replication and the documents are not taken on record at this stage.
9. Vide order dated 10.04.2026, Defendant No. 1 had sought time to inspect the record, as an objection had been raised that the replication to the written statement of Defendant No. 1 had been filed with delay, though the same had been taken on record without any application seeking condonation of delay.
10. Upon a query from the Court, learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 seeks further time to inspect the record and submits that, if so required, an appropriate application shall be filed.
11. List for further arguments on the aforesaid aspects on **09.07.2026.**

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 7, 2026/nk