



\$~33

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 966/2025**

**AJAY MALIK & ANR.**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Kharanshu Rana, Adv.  
(appeared through VC)

versus

**RAJIV TARA & ORS.**

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Kirti Mewar, Ms. Kriti  
Sharma & Ms. Ira Dhingra,  
Adv. for D-1  
Mr. Anubhav Gupta, Adv. (Panel  
Counsel, GNCTD) for D-2  
(Mobile No.9910623535)

**CORAM:  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT  
DALAL**

%

**ORDER**  
**09.07.2026**

**IA No. 32989/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2  
CPC)**

1. Pleadings in the captioned IA already stand concluded  
vide order dated 09.04.2026.

**CS(OS) 966/2025**

2. As per office note, Defendant No. 1 has filed objections  
to the report of the learned Local Commissioner;  
however, the same have been returned under objections.
3. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 submits that the  
objections have already been removed.
4. Accordingly, learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 is  
directed to coordinate with the Registry and ensure that



the objections are placed on record, if the objections have already been removed. In case the objections still subsist, the same shall be removed and the objections shall thereafter be placed on record.

5. Submissions have been heard on the objections raised by learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 regarding the replication filed on behalf of the plaintiff.
6. Learned counsel seeks time to place on record certain judgments upon which reliance is sought to be placed.
7. Accordingly, the arguments could not be concluded today and the matter is adjourned.
8. List before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed, i.e., **10.07.2026**.

**SUMIT DALAL**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JULY 9, 2026/nk**