



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 216/2025 CM APPL. 39796/2025

SONAM KABRA @ SONAM ARORAPetitioner

Through: Ms. Mansi Sharma and Mr. Rehan
Verma, Advs.

versus

SH. MAHESH KABRARespondent

Through: Respondent in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
15.07.2025

%

1. Pursuant to the previous order, it is informed that the visitation, as directed in *paragraphs 3 and 4* of the previous order dated 30th May 2025, was complied with.
2. It is informed by the counsel that the child counsellor also met the child.
3. The visitation which had been directed in *paragraph 7* of the order, however, could not fructify due to various logistical issues which cropped up between petitioner and respondent.
4. There is some concern expressed by *Ms. Mansi Sharma* appearing through legal aid for the petitioner, about parental alienation, symptoms of which the child is showing, considering his behaviour towards the petitioner-mother.
5. Therefore, keeping the best interest of the child so that his association with the mother does not get severed, the child counsellor who has interacted with the child, shall yet again ensure interaction with the child on



the next date of visitation, which is scheduled with consent of parties, for 26th July 2025 at 11:30 am for a period of 3 hours, which shall be not interrupted by respondent.

6. In the event there is a problem for 26th July in any event, *Mr. Kabra* will inform, before 19th July 2025, to his counsel, who will then intimate the same to counsel for petitioner, and the date be shifted to 2nd August 2025 at 11:30 am.

7. In case the date gets shifted, petitioner's counsel shall inform the Mediation Centre.

8. The child counsellor, after interaction with the child, may decide as to the frequency with which they would like to interact with the child further, if so necessary, and accordingly fix the schedule with the respondent-father in this regard. This may be done in consultation with the *Organizing Secretary, Delhi High Mediation and Conciliation Centre*.

9. In case the child counsellor feels that presence of mother is required on any of the subsequent meetings for the purposes of counselling, the petitioner-mother will also be directed to be present in such meetings.

10. A period of 2 months is being given for this purpose of counselling, so that hopefully some progress can be made.

11. Report by the child counsellor may be placed on record of this Court, in a sealed cover, prior to the next date of hearing.

12. List on 19th September 2025.

13. Date of 19th August 2025, fixed earlier, stands cancelled.

14. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

JULY 15, 2025/sm/bp