



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW
DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 1845/2011

YUDHBIR MALIK & ORS Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta
and Mr. Rishi Bhardwaj,
Advocates for Plaintiff
nos. 1,2,6,7,8 and 9
None for Plaintiff nos. 3,4
and 5

versus

RANBIR SINGH & ORS Defendants

Through: Mr. O.P. Gehlaut and Mr.
Prashant Malik, Advocates
for Defendant nos. 2 to 5
appeared physically

CORAM:
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA
SINGH, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

02.02.2023

*Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as
video conferencing.*

The case is fixed for remaining plaintiffs' evidence. PW-Sh. Krishan Beer, Kanoongo, has appeared on behalf of the office of SDM (Vasant Vihar) and he has stated that he has been transferred to Tehsil Delhi Cantt. and he also has additional charge of record of SDM (Vasant Vihar). He has stated that the requisite record mentioned by the plaintiff could not be traced in the office of SDM (Vasant Vihar) due to which letters have been issued to Record Room Incharge of Tehsil Kapashera and Tehsil Nazafgarh on 27.01.2023, but response has not been received as yet.

CS(OS) 1845/2011

Page 1 of 2



None has appeared on behalf of SDM (Kapashera) despite service of notice by way of *dasti* by the plaintiff.

At request, show cause notice be issued to SDM (Vasant Vihar) and SDM (Kapashera) for explaining the reason why the requisite record is not being traced and not being sent to this court as summoned, on filing of PF alongwith copy of details of requisite record.

Reply be filed by the concerned SDMs atleast one week before the next date of hearing.

At request of learned counsel for defendants, copy of the application for summoning witnesses be supplied by plaintiff to learned counsel for defendants today itself.

Put up for remaining plaintiffs' evidence on 28th March, 2023.

TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 2, 2023
savita

CS(OS) 1845/2011

Page 2 of 2