



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1974/2025**

EX. CT. SAILENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Hemlata Rawat, Mr. Abhay Singh
and Mr. Saurabh, Advocates.

versus

SHRI GOVIND MOHAN & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Rajesh Kumar, SPC with Mr.
Kushagra Sachdev, GP for UOI with
Mr. Yash Narain and Ms. Pragya
Yadav, Advocates.

Insp. Sanjay Kumar, CISF, Asst.
Commandant V.S. Rawat, CISF, SI
Manjunath, CISF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

23.12.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 81931/2025 (Exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application is disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1974/2025

3. The present petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 2(b), 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers:

“a) Initiate contempt proceedings against the Respondents for wilful disobedience of the judgment dated 01.07.2025 passed by this Hon’ble Court in W.P.(C) 884/2009;



- b) Direct the Respondents to forthwith release the arrears of 25% salary and other emoluments payable to the legal heirs of Late Ex. Constable Sailender Singh from the date of dismissal 21.04.2006 till the date of reinstatement, whichever is earlier;
- c) Direct the Respondents to forthwith pass the consequential orders for implementation of the directions given by this Hon'ble Court in respect of grant of all the allowances to the legal heirs of the deceased charged official as would be payable to him;
- d) Direct the Respondents to pay interest on delayed payment at such rate as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit;
- e) Punish the Respondents under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for deliberate and wilful disobedience of the orders of this Hon'ble Court;
- f) Award exemplary costs to the Petitioner to compensate for harassment, delay, and denial of justice;

4. *Vide* judgment dated 01.07.2025, in W.P.(C) 884/2009 and connected matter, the learned Division Bench of this Court passed the following directions:

“133. In view of the foregoing discussion, we allow the present petition and set aside the Impugned Order dated 21.04.2006, whereby the petitioner was 'Dismissed from Service', as well as the Appellate Authority and the Revisional Authority Orders dated 04.07.2001) and 04.08.2008 respectively, upholding the said dismissal. We direct the petitioner to be reinstated in service with effect from the date of his dismissal from service, that is, 21.04.2006, with all consequential benefits, including continuity of service and notional seniority. However, considering the fact that the petitioner has been out of service for almost 20 years, we direct that the petitioner be paid 25% of the back wages as arrears from the date of his dismissal until his reinstatement.

134. The consequential orders shall be passed by the respondents within a period of eight weeks.”



5. Issue notice.
6. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice and submits that an SLP against the aforesaid judgment dated 01.07.2025 has been preferred by the respondents.
7. Subject to the outcome of the aforesaid SLP, let a compliance affidavit be filed.
8. List on 24.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/bsr/ah