



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 19446/2025 & CM APPL. 81112/2025, CM APPL. 81113/2025

MANJUR ALI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pradeep Yadav, Advocate
Mob: 9999887565

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Meherunnisa Anand Jaitley,
Advocate with Mr. Varun Pratap
Singh, Advocate for MCD
Mob: 9355665877

Email:

varunpratapsingh.law@gmail.com

Ms. Kritika Gupta and Ms. Vidushi
Singhania, Advocates for DDA

Mob: 9599302740

Email: kritka0504@gmail.com

Mr. Chiranjiv Kumar, Mr. Durgesh
Bharti, Advocates alongwith SI
Akshay Dagar, PS Shaheen Bagh

Mr. Chiranjiv Kumar, Mr. Durgesh
Bharti, Advocates along with SI Mr.
Akshay Dagar and PS Shaheen Bagh
for R-4

Mob: 8826311139

Email: durgeshbharti.92@gmail.com

Mr. Saifi Ahmad, Advocate for R-5

Mob: 9871464702

Email: saifiahmad786@gmail.com



CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

22.12.2025

CM APPL. 81113/2025 (For Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 19446/2025 & CM APPL. 81112/2025

3. The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to take action against the illegal and unauthorized construction raised by respondent no. 5, on property bearing *No. C-79 (Near Tayyab Masjid), Shaheen Bagh, Okhla, New Delhi-110025*.
4. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsel appearing for the respondents.
5. Learned counsel appearing for Municipal Corporation of Delhi ("MCD") has handed over certain documents, to submit that Show Cause Notice dated 03rd November, 2025 was issued with respect to the unauthorized construction.
6. The documents, as handed over by the MCD, are taken on record.
7. She submits that the property, as mentioned in the present writ petition as C-79, is actually property bearing no. C-82, which was verified upon inspection of the property in question.
8. She, thus, submits that Show Cause Notice was issued for unauthorized construction at property bearing *No. C-82*. She further submits that a Demolition Order dated 27th November, 2025, has been passed against the unauthorized construction in said property bearing *No. C-82*.



9. She further submits that action was sought to be taken by the MCD on 11th December, 2025. However, on account of Graded Response Action Plan (GRAP)-IV restrictions, said action could not be taken. She submits that requisite action shall be taken as and when GRAP-IV restrictions are lifted.

10. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 3, i.e., Special Task Force, submits that the Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”) has already taken cognizance of the complaint and shall ensure due action.

11. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 5 puts in appearance and submits that the petitioner is a blackmailer and a habitual litigant, who has been filing various writ petitions with respect to different properties in the same area.

12. He submits that the petitioner has filed the following writ petitions earlier:

I. W.P.(C) 14437/2023 titled as “*Manjur Ali Versus Municipal Corporation of Delhi & Ors.*”.

II. W.P.(C) 11569/2024 titled as “*Shri Manjur Ali Versus Municipal Corporation of Delhi & Ors.*”.

III. W.P.(C) 14376/2024 titled as “*Shri Manjur Ali Versus Municipal Corporation of Delhi & Ors.*”.

13. The orders in the said writ petitions have been handed over, which are taken on record.

14. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 5 further submits that the petitioner does not live in the property, i.e., *property No. C-73, Shaheen Bagh*, as mentioned in the Memo of Parties.

15. Accordingly, Deputy Commissioner of Police (“DCP”), South East District, is directed to investigate the antecedents of the petitioner. The



details of the petitioner, as given in the Memo of Parties, are as follows:

*“Manjur Ali S/o Akbar Ali
R/o C-73, Shaheen Bagh, Near Tayyab Masjid,
Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi-110025”*

16. Let the report of DCP, South East District, be filed before the next date of hearing.
17. The MCD as well as other respondents are also directed to file their Status Report/response.
18. Considering the submissions made before this Court that the property, where the unauthorized construction has been carried out, is actually property no. C-82 and not C-79, it is deemed expedient that owner/occupier of property no. C-82 is impleaded as party.
19. Accordingly, as per the documents handed over by the MCD, Mr. Mohd. Quabri, resident of property no. C-82, *Shaheen Bagh, Okhla, New Delhi-110025*, is impleaded as respondent no. 6.
20. Let Amended Memo of Parties be filed by the petitioner, within a period of one week, from today.
21. Issue notice to newly impleaded respondent no. 6, upon filing of process fee.
22. Let reply be also filed by respondent no. 6, before the next date of hearing.
23. Re-notify on 02nd March, 2026.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025

ak