



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 19439/2025 & CM APPL. 81066/2025, CM APPL. 81067/2025

DHRUV GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Madan and Mr. Shivanshu Gusain, Advocates
Mob: 9643670913
Email: advshivanshugusain@gmail.com

versus

SPECIAL TASK FORCE, MCD & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddhant Nath, SC with Mr. Bhavishya Makhija and Mr. Aman Khan, Advocates for MCD
Mob: 9910870397
Email: siddhantadv.nath@gmail.com
Mr. Abhishek Saket, SPCG, Mr. Manish Madhukar, Mr. Abhigyan, Ms. Reya Paul, Ms. Nidhi Singh, Ms. Amruta Padhi, Advocates for R-3
Mob: 9810134597
Email - abhisheksaket@gmail.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

22.12.2025

CM APPL. 81067/2025 (For Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 19439/2025 & CM APPL. 81066/2025

3. The present writ petition has been filed seeking directions to the



respondent nos. 1 to 3, to take action against the unauthorized construction being carried by respondent no. 4 out at the property bearing *No. 41E, Ashoka Avenue, Sainik Farms, New Delhi-110062*.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner draws the attention of this Court to the order dated 04th September, 2024, passed in *W.P.(C) 8591/2024* and submits that in terms of the said order, the petitioner has approached the Special Task Force (“STF”) and has made a complaint with respect to fresh unauthorized construction. However, no action has been taken.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has also drawn the attention of this Court to the Status Report dated 15th July, 2024, filed on behalf of Municipal Corporation of Delhi (“MCD”) in *W.P.(C) 8591/2024*, titled as “*Sh. Dhruv Gupta Versus Municipal Corporation of Delhi & Ors.*”, wherein, it is stated as follows:

“xxx xxx xxx

4. That it is also stated here that in respect of the area in question i.e. Sainik Farms, the Hon’ble High Court, in Writ Petition Civil No. 6734 /2000, titled as “*Rajiv Malhotra Vs UOI & Ors.*” vide its order dated 12/01/2001 has specifically directed not to allow any illegal / unauthorized construction activity and as well as any building material inside the Sainik Farm area. The copy of the impugned order is annexed herewith as Annexure –A.

xxx xxx xxx”

6. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsels appearing for



respondent nos. 2 and 3.

7. Respondent no. 1 is the STF. However, in the Memo of Parties, it has been mentioned as STF, through Municipal Corporation of Delhi (“MCD”), even though, STF comes under the authority of the Chairman, Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”).

8. Accordingly, let amended Memo of Parties be filed clearly stating STF, through the Chairman DDA.

9. Issue notice to respondent no. 1 through all modes, including Standing Counsel-DDA and to respondent no. 4 as well.

10. Let reply/Status Report be filed by the respondents, within a period of four weeks.

11. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks, thereafter.

12. In case of any ongoing construction, the concerned official of MCD, as well as Station House Officer (“SHO”), Police Station-Neb Sarai, are directed to get the further construction stopped forthwith.

13. Re-notify on 28th April, 2026.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025

ak