



\$~18

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CNR No. DLHC011049272025

+ CS(COMM) 1398/2025

MANASH LIFESTYLE PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ayush Dey, Adv (VC).
9818823289

versus

FLIPKART INTERNET PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Surabhi Pande and Mr.
Aditya Singh Thakur, Advs
for D-1.
Mr. Kanav Manghani and
Mr. Bhushan Arora, Advs
for D-2 and 3 (VC).
Mr. Jujhar Singh, Adv for
D-4 and 6(VC).
Mr. Shreyas Malik and Mr.
Dhruv Kumar, Advs for D-7
(VC).
Mr. Ravi Kumar, Adv for
D-8.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SWATI KATIYAR

ORDER

%

11.08.2026

I.A. 18075/2026 on behalf of defendant no. 8 for condonation of delay of 119 days in filing written statement and affidavit of admission/denial.

1. IA is listed for consideration.
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff accepts notice. Ld counsel for plaintiff submits that the IA may be allowed subject to cost.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed subject to a cost of Rs. 5000/-, to be paid to the plaintiff



through its counsel within ~~one~~ week from today. Subject to payment of cost, delay stands condoned.

4. Written statement of defendant no.8 alongwith affidavit of admission / denial of documents be taken on record. Replication be filed within the period permissible as per rules. **IA stands disposed off.** Defendant no.8 is directed to **re-file** the written statement within 5 days whereafter the Registry is directed to place the same on record.

I.A. 16677/2026 on behalf of plaintiffs seeking condonation of delay of 15 days for replication to written statement of defendant no. 1.

5. Ld counsel for plaintiff seeks permission to withdraw the present application.

6. In view of submissions made, the application stands dismissed as withdrawn. **IA stands disposed off.**

CS(COMM) 1398/2025 & I.A. No. 32382/2025 (Under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC).

7. Matter is listed for completion of pleadings.

8. Written statement filed on behalf of defendant no.1 is on record. Perusal of record shows that replication filed by plaintiff is also on record, however, the replication has been filed beyond the period of 45 days and the application seeking condonation of delay in filing replication has been withdrawn by Ld counsel for plaintiff. Ld counsel for plaintiff also confirms that the replication has been filed after 45 days. Consequently registry is directed to **take off** the replication filed by Ld counsel for plaintiff to the written statement of defendant no.1 from the record.

9. Pleadings qua Defendant no. 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 are complete.



10. Written statement filed by defendant no.8 has been taken on record subject to payment of cost and re-filing as per rules. Replication, if any be filed as per rules.

11. Matter already settled qua defendant no.13 to 16.

12. As per affidavit of service filed by plaintiff, Defendant no.10 has been served on 19.03.2026 through speed post and defendants no.5, 9, 11, 12 and 17 stands served through email on 10.03.2026. However, no written statement has been filed by defendant no.5, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 17 within the maximum permissible period of 120 days. Hence, the right of defendant nos. 5, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 17 to file written statement stands closed.

13. Put up for completion of pleadings qua defendant no.8 on 28.09.2026.

**SWATI KATIYAR,
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 11, 2026/BK