



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1951/2025**

COL DIVYA RAJ SINGH SHAKTAWAT RETDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj Kr. Gupta, Adv.

versus

SHRI RAJESH KUMAR SINGH & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ruchir Mishra, SPC with Mr.
Arvind, GP.
Mr. Shoumendu Mukherji, SPC with
Mr. Aniruddha Ghosh, Advs. and Ms.
Neha Mishra, G.P.
Mr. Anish Muralidhar, Army.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

22.12.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Sections 10, 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers:

“a) Initiate Contempt proceeding against the alleged contemnors & concerned Officer's/Staff of Indian Army/MoD for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the judgment dated 01.07.2025 of this Hon'ble Court passed in the Writ Petition (Civil)5422 of 2024.

b) Pass any other or further order/s as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that *vide* order dated 15.09.2023 in OA 356/2021, the learned AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi, passed the following directions: -

“17. Therefore, the OA 356/2021 is allowed. The respondents are



directed to grant the disability element of pension to the applicant @ 30% for life which is directed to be rounded off to 50% for life from the date of discharge in terms of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India Vs. Ram Avtar (Civil Appeal No. 418/2012)*** decided on 10.12.2014.

18. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to calculate, sanction and issue necessary PPO to the applicant within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, failing which, the applicant shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum till the date of payment.”

4. Subsequently, the aforesaid judgment passed by the learned AFT was challenged before the learned Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 5422/2024 and other connected writ petitions. *Vide* judgment dated 01.07.2025, affirming the aforesaid judgment passed by the learned AFT, the learned Division Bench had observed as under:

“85. In view of the aforesaid, and considering the limited scope of the writ jurisdiction in reviewing the orders of the learned Tribunal, no case has been made out warranting interference by this Court with the decision of the learned Tribunal.”

5. Issue notice.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice. Let a compliance report be filed before the next date of hearing.

7. List on 11.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025/kr/sg