

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 29/2009

MR. ANUPAM CHATTERJEE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Agrawal, Adv.

versus

THE STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Satyawan Shekhawat, Adv. proxy
for Mr. Arjun Harkauli, Adv. for R-2.
Mr. Pankaj Agarwal, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:

SH. AMIT KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 04.09.2015

Witness/PW1, who is to be cross-examined only by respondent no. 2 is present. However, there is request for adjournment on behalf of counsel for respondent no. 2 on the ground that he is out of station. This fact is that counsel is out of station is disputed by counsel for plaintiff.

Without going into that controversy, only for the reason that witness is material though ideally counsel for respondent no. 2 should have been here as the matter was fixed by the Hon'ble Court on 20.05.2015 yet considering the relevancy of the witness, adjournment is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- payable to the witness by counsel for respondent no. 2. No further opportunity shall be granted to cross-examine this witness.

Witness to appear on the next date of hearing on his own.

Put up the matter for cross-examination of PW1 on **28th November, 2015.**

AMIT KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

SEPTEMBER 04, 2015/nk