



\$~63

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 19393/2025**

**SHUBHAM KUMAR**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saaket Jain, Ms. Shivangi Anand  
Mr. Ankur Singhal, Mr. Sarthak  
Kumar Meena, Advs.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ORS.**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ravinder Agarwal, Mr. Manish  
Kumar Singh and Mr. Vasu Agarwal,  
Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA**

**ORDER**

% **08.07.2026**

1. The attention of this Court is invited to the judgment dated 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2025, delivered in W.P.(C) 8304/2025, titled as ***“Raghvendra Singh & Anr. Vs. Union Public Service Commission & Anr.(2025:DHC:11105-DB)”***.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the issue is covered by the well-reasoned judgment of this Court in W.P.(C) 3404/2025, decided on 03<sup>rd</sup> February, 2026, titled as ***“Bharath Singarappa Vs. Union Public Service Commission & Ors.(2026:DHC:898-DB)”*** which allowed the petition on similar grounds as that of the petitioner in the present petition.

3. So as to substantiate his claim, our attention is invited to the list of candidates reflected in *Annexure P-1 viz.*, the order dated 20<sup>th</sup> February,



2025, wherein the candidature of the petitioner was cancelled.

4. The aforesaid proposition of law is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

5. In such an eventuality, having regard to the fact that the issue is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in ***Raghvendra Singh & Another (supra)***, and for parity of reasons, we hold and direct the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner at the relevant stage of the selection process, subject to verification of the OBC NCL certificate furnished by him, whereby the cut off date shall not be a factor for disqualification. The respondents shall be at liberty to test and/or examine other criteria of eligibility and/or qualification required in respect of the appointment of the petitioner. The petitioner shall be granted his due seniority as per the final result but shall not be paid financial benefits.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in the above terms

**NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J**

**AMIT SHARMA, J**

**JULY 8, 2026/sky/st**