



\$~19&20.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ TEST.CAS. 51/2010, IA No.12721/2013 (u/O XXXIX Rules1&2),
CrI.M.A. No.13002/2013 (of the respondent no.2 u/S 340 Cr.PC), IA
No.7906/2016 (under Section 148 CPC) and IA No.15120/2016 (u/S
151 CPC).

MANU MARKANDE & ANR Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Aneja, Adv.

versus

THE STATE & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Adv. for
D-2 to 5.

AND

TEST.CAS. 46/2012 & CrI. M.A. No.13059/2013 (u/S 340 CrI.P.C.)
SUDESH KHANNA & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajiv Aneja, Adv. for R-2&3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **23.01.2018**

1. The counsels have mentioned the matters for adjournment.
2. They however state that IA No.15120/2016 in Test.Cas. No.51/2010, for substitution of the respondent no.7 therein i.e. Bank of Rajasthan Limited with ICICI Bank Ltd. be allowed.
3. The counsel for the petitioners in Test.Cas. No.51/2010 however states that he does not admit the other contents of the application.
4. IA No.15120/2016 is allowed and the respondent no.7 Bank of Rajasthan Limited is permitted to be substituted by ICICI Bank Ltd.
5. IA No.15120/2016 is disposed of.
6. Amended memo of parties be filed before the next date of hearing.

TEST.CAS. 51/2010 & TEST.CAS. 46/2012

page 1 of 2



7. Let fresh notice be issued to the respondent no.7 ICICI Bank Ltd.
8. List on 31st July, 2018.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

JANUARY 23, 2018
'pp'..

TEST.CAS. 51/2010 & TEST.CAS. 46/2012

page 2 of 2