



\$~9

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
TEST.CAS. 51/2010
MANU MARKANDE & ANR

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Rajiv Aneja, Adv.

versus

THE STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through Ms. Savita, Adv. for R-4.

CORAM:

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

28.11.2018

IA No. 15317/2018 u/sec. 151 CPC filed by respondent no. 4 for placing on record the evidence affidavit of Mr. Babar Riasat.

Copy has been received by learned counsel for plaintiff.

It is submitted by learned counsel for plaintiff that the present application is extension of previous application u/O XVIII R 16 CPC filed by respondent no. 4 vide IA No. 11158/2018 which is already pending consideration before the Hon'ble Court on 04.12.2018. On the other hand, learned counsel for applicant states that through the application are connected but the same are entirely different.

Learned counsel for plaintiff states that in view of IA No. 11158/2018, let the present application be put before the Hon'ble Court for consideration.

I have perused the record. Both the above mentioned application are found to be connected/related with each other.

Contd.....2



-2-

In the given facts and circumstances and at request, let the matter be put up before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on 04.12.2018 i.e. the date already fixed there.

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

NOVEMBER 28, 2018/pk